

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/782,131	SMRCKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cang G. Thai	3629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Cang G. Thai. (3) Tim Hadlock of Chevron Corporation Law Dept..
 (2) John Weiss. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-69.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,405,364.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. 101 issue was discussed and no change until data manipulation is shown in the Independent Claims 1 & 16.


2. 112 issue is agreed upon and will be removed on the ground of rejection.

3. Applicant representative will ammend and limit the claim for chemical technology.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required